Spring 2018 Election Certification

President /Vice-President

President/Vice President data has been redacted pending the completion of a Supreme Court case.

Constitutional Amendment

Do you authorize the Student Government Association to update the SGA Constitution in order to include more gender inclusive language?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Referendum</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1662</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Student Senate

Honors College – 1 Seat Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honors College - 1 seat</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tarian Faal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Shafer</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>69.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Texas Academy of Math and Science – 1 Seat Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAMS - 1 seat</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloe Field</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vi-Anh Hoang</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Jacob</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuqing Liu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tejas Mehta</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>44.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huram-Abi Nzla.Yotchoum</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Yue</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Mayborn College of Journalism – 2 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mayborn School of Journalism - 2 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nick Gorietti</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>94.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## College of Visual Arts and Design – 3 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Visual Arts and Design - 3 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia Youngs-Bailey</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>94.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences – 11 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Liberal Arts and Social Sciences - 11 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luis Avila</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>54.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Bell</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>51.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viviana Cerda</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erich P. DeSchepper</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>42.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalton Dickson</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>48.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marko Garcia</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>46.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alex Lockhart</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>45.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victor Martinez</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>47.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy Edward Montgomery</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>58.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raelon Moore</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>42.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam Rutledge</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>35.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanlyn Tyler</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>41.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shane Warren</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>40.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## College of Health and Public Service – 3 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Health and Public Service - 3 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deana Ayers</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuberi Mtemvu</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah E. Ramirez</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Robert</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>31.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaiah Williams</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### College of Business – 7 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Business - 7 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abigail Benitez</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>52.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christina Browdy</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>50.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diana Cortes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>51.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaiah Littlejohn</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>54.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Weber</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>52.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In: Skylar McCauley</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write-In: Benjamin Wagner</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### College of Education – 4 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Education - 4 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Write-In: Tangela Jones</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### College of Science – 5 Seats Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Sciences - 5 seats</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A'joy Allen</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>54.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khalil Anthony</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>61.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Benitez</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>58.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tana Fisk</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>64.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leah Graham</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>72.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Trotchie</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### College of Music – 1 Seat Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College of Music - 1 seat</th>
<th># of Votes</th>
<th>% of Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loke Lovett</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>89.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We hereby certify the results to be accurate as of Thursday, April 12, 2018.

Tehute Habte, Election Commissioner

Josh Reynolds, Election Board Member

Tommy Hughes, Election Board Member

Ally Zarate, Election Board Member

Daniel Landry III, Election Board Member

Melissa McGuire, Election Board Advisor
April 7, 2018

On April 5, 2018, Stephon Bradberry submitted a formal complaint against Misaki Collins and Ipi Adedokun claiming violation of SGA by-laws Article 5.5.4 & Article 5.5.1.G. Additionally, Bradberry stated that the use of the SGA logo could imply that SGA and by extension the Election Board endorsed this candidate pair. A formal hearing was held on Saturday, April 7, 2018. Below are the findings from this hearing.

Parties Present: Stephon Bradberry, Misaki Collins, and Ian McFarland

Alleged Violation: The use of the SGA logo on campaign materials by Collins/Adedokun broke the following SGA by-laws:
Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 4: Rules on Election Board-Sponsored Campaigns
A. In the interest of increasing undergraduate student engagement and voter turnout, the Election Board may produce campaign materials or host campaign events, to be promoted via SGA communication and marketing channels.
B. Such materials or events shall not endorse any one candidate or item on the ballot, but shall give unbiased information about the election, candidates, and referenda.
C. Such events and materials may include, but are not limited to: debates, town halls, forums, and election guides.

Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item G:
G. No University, State, or public funds may be used by Candidates or Referendum Parties. This includes the utilization of employee work time. No Candidate or Referendum Party may solicit campaign assistance from an employee during the employee’s work hours.

Decision: Through a unanimous vote, the SGA Election Board decided that these by-laws were not violated because the Election Board did not create or fund any campaign materials for Collins/ Adedokun. The Election Board reiterates that the function of the Board itself is to interpret the Election Code in regards to complaints. The jurisdiction of the Board lies solely on the unbiased interpretation of the Election Code.

Sincerely,
The SGA Election Board

Tehute Habte
Election Commissioner
Tommy Hughes
Election Board Member

Matthew Hare
Election Board Member

Daniel Landry III
Election Board Member

Ally Zarate
Election Board Member
University of North Texas

Student Government Association – Election Board Hearing

April 4, 2018

Type of Meeting: Hearing

Meeting facilitator: Tehute Habte

1. Call to Order: 3:15

2. Roll Call:
   a. Tehute Habte
   b. Tommy Hughes
   c. Ally Zarate
   d. Daniel Landry III
   e. Matthew Hare

3. Attendees:
   a. Misaki Collins
   b. Ian McFarland
   c. Stephon Bradberry

4. Stephon
   a. Lawn signs and fliers have “SGA” logo on the bottom right corner of the material which violates the Policy and By Laws. This has the potential to show that SGA is biased to one side.
   b. Only certain members of SGA can endorse candidates but not to where it looks like “SGA” is endorsing them
5. Misaki:
   
   a. I have doubled check the By Laws and there are no rules that states that we cannot use the “SGA” logo. Brought all my Receipt to show that Receipt to show that SGA did not pay for of the copies. Previous years people have used the SGA logo and current senators that are running are using the “SGA” logo. I do not understand why this is a violation.

6. Stephon:
   
   a. This material is using the Logo of “SGA” which has the same font and people have come up to me asking if “SGA” is endorsing this particular candidate. I am not saying you did it maliciously, but this has the potential to influence the vote for Thursdays results.

7. Miskaki:
   
   a. I fully understand what you are saying but I checked and everyone else has checked because this does not say anything about the By Laws. We are already going to cover all the “SGA” logos on our posters, signs, etc.

8. Ally to Misaki and Stephon:
   
   a. What do you mean by the Election Board being Biased?
      
      i. The election board receives the public perception on what we allow to be put out.

9. Tommy to Stephon:
   
   a. How many people have asked you if “SGA” is specifically endorsing this particular candidate?
i. About 15 people have asked me if “SGA” is endorsing this candidate.

Students can come to the conclusion that “SGA” has put this material out because it shares their logo which makes them think “SGA” is endorsing this candidate which is not fair to anyone else.

10. Stephon:

   a. Article 5: Subsection 5G

   b. Article 5: Subsection 5 A-C

11. Tommy to Misaki:

   a. How many other senators do you know that are using the “SGA” Logo on their posters.

      i. As of right now I know of about 3 other senators.

12. Period of Discussion

13. Adjournment 7:00pm
April 11, 2018

On April 8, 2018, Ipi Adedokun submitted a formal complaint against Dominique Thomas claiming she violated SGA by-laws Article 5.5.1.B. A formal hearing was held on Tuesday, April 10, 2018. Below are the findings from this hearing.

Parties Present: Muhammad Kara, Dominique Thomas, Misaki Collins, and Ipi Adedokun

Alleged Violation: The GroupMe message sent out by Thomas on Sunday, March 18, 2018 at 1:29 PM broke the following SGA by-laws:

Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item B: General Campaign Rules

B. Candidates may only begin publicizing their campaigns after the Election Code meeting has been satisfied and on the date set forth by the Election Calendar. Referendum parties may only begin publicizing their campaigns on the date set forth by the Election Calendar. If candidates or Referendum Parties are found publicizing their campaigns in any way before the above dates, it is grounds for disqualification.

Decision: Through a unanimous vote, the SGA Election Board decided that these by-laws were violated because Thomas sent an email to a student named in the complaint prior to the date put forth by the Election Calendar. On a 2-1 vote, the Election Board believes that this violation did not alter the outcome of this election. The Election Board reiterates that the function of the Board itself is to interpret the Election Code in regards to complaints. The jurisdiction of the Board lies solely on the unbiased interpretation of the Election Code.

Sincerely,
The SGA Election Board

[Signature]
Tehuta Habte
Election Commissioner

[Signature]
Tommy Hughes
Election Board Member
Josh Reynolds
Election Board Member

Allison Zarate
Ally Zarate
Election Board Member
University of North Texas

Student Government Association – Election Board Hearing

April 10, 2018

Type of Meeting: Hearing

Meeting facilitator: Tehute Habte

1. Call to Order:

2. Roll Call: 4:00
   a. Tehute Habte
   b. Tommy Hughes
   c. Ally Zarate
   d. Josh Reynolds

3. Attendees:
   a. Muhammad Kara
   b. Misaki Collins
   c. Ipi Adedokun
   d. Dominique Thomas

4. Misaki and Ipi
   a. Ipi: Monday the 2nd around 3:00 got an email that Muhammad and Dominique were sending GROUPME messages about their campaign weeks before they had their election code meeting and before the week to begin campaigning.

   b. Misaki: reading through the messages sent clearly emphasized that you knew the rules of the campaign but still decided to begin campaigning by publishing
the campaign in advance. This is not fair to us because we waited until the correct week to publicize our campaign.

5. Dominique:
   a. Muhammad and I thought campaigning on Monday the 19th though we found out that the campaigning week changed. We have emails to back up our case. We used the correct wording that “We have applied for SGA president and vice president”. Again, we were all aware that that campaigning would begin the 19th and that it has not been moved up.

6. Tehute:
   a. Regarding the date change for the campaigning week, we were still trying to figure out how many people were going to run. When it turned out to be only two set of people running we had to change the dates farther up.

7. Dominique:
   a. I only said that I have applied and asked the question “I wanted to know if you would be interested in supporting us as well?” That is, it. I told him to “just let me know.”

8. Muhammad:
   a. I understand this problem, so reaching out and asking for support and help which those words are interchangeable. I also understand why this complaint was just brought up much later than when it actually happened. We were using the wordings that we thought were appropriate at the time.

9. Ally (board member)
a. As a board member we understand that words can have different meanings and that can sometimes can be misinterpreted by some but we are hear to figure this out.

10. Tehute:

a. This particular complaint did not come from Ipi or Misaki. This complaint came from someone else who had the concern about this particular problem.

11. Misaki:

a. This same thing happened last year. The election board members agreed that last year’s violation that they did break two of the by-laws but came to the conclusion that it was not a big enough issue.

12. Ipi:

a. We came to the conclusion that we couldn’t start campaigning until the correct date and they started much earlier, which is unfair to ours.

13. Muhammad and Dominique

a. If this actually affected their campaign there would be more issues that would be brought back up. I really don’t understand why this is being brought up right now.


a. As of right now we are just hear for judging whether or not this complaint violated any of the By Laws. I want to make sure we have all the facts correct.
b. Tehute Clarified that all the facts that were presented are hear on the table and are correct.
15. Period of Discussion
   a. Board members agreed they need more information to decide and will be
      Contacting a separate witness named in the complaint.

16. Adjournment: 4:48
April 11, 2018

On April 11, 2018, Paige Sennet submitted a formal complaint against Misaki Collins and Ipi Adedokun claiming violation of SGA by-laws Article 5.5.F. A formal hearing was held on Wednesday, April 11, 2018. Below are the findings from this hearing.

Parties Present: Paige Sennet, Misaki Collins, and Ipi Adedokun

Alleged Violation: The email sent out by Collins/Adedokun on Monday, April 9, 2018 at 9:22 AM broke the following SGA by-laws:

Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item F: General Campaign Rules

F. Candidates and Referendum Parties shall assume responsibility for the accuracy and truthfulness of their statements and campaign materials.

Decision: Through a unanimous vote, the SGA Election Board decided that these by-laws were violated because the email was inaccurate. The email potentially misled an unidentifiable number of student voters in believing the Collins/Adedokun ticket co-created the petition mentioned in the email. The Election Board decided that the Collins/Adedokun ticket cannot campaign on April 12th from 12:00 PM to 5:00 PM. The Election Board reiterates that the function of the Board itself is to interpret the Election Code in regards to complaints. The jurisdiction of the Board lies solely on the unbiased interpretation of the Election Code.

Sincerely,
The SGA Election Board

[Tehute Habte]
Election Commissioner

[Tommy Hughes]
Election Board Member

[Josh Reynolds]
Election Board Member
Daniel Landry III
Election Board Member

Ally Zarate
Election Board Member
University of North Texas

Student Government Association – Election Board Hearing

April 11, 2018

Type of Meeting: Hearing

Meeting facilitator: Tehute Habte

1. Call to Order:

2. Roll Call: 8:26
   a. Tehute Habte
   b. Ally Zarate
   c. Tommy Hughes
   d. Daniel Landry III
   e. Josh Reynolds

3. Attendees:
   a. Misaki Collins
   b. Ipi Adedokun
   c. Paige Sennet

4. Paige
   a. The candidates sent out a petition that had the the verbiage in it that said “out petition” which made it sound like they began campaigning while sending out a petition before campaign week started.

5. Misaki
a. You can look through my twitter, I will always say when I post that it is “ours”.

We all help create it the petition. It is not for any of our campaigning. Dominique did help with this but as I stated it is “our” petition. When I say “our” I mean the 1050 people that signed the petition. I am not referring to Ipi and I. This petition is not even for SGA. I always make sure to tell everyone that this petition is not about me.

6. Paige:

a. So, for me the reason I filed the complaint is that I believe that this has swayed the vote. This petition was emailed to 1,000 plus people and she makes it sound Ipi and her are already campaigning.

7. Misaki

a. Even if you read the email, I mean I can see how you think that, but I stated in the email that this is strictly about the petition.

8. Ally:

a. So, by mentioning the emails and twitter account was this a verbal submission of evidence.?

9. Paige

a. I saw the petition my-self, for me twitter is one of those things where I sign a lot of petitions and never look back at it. Though there is no way you can know if someone went back to the twitter account to look at the updates.

10. Misaki
a. The only name on the petition was my name, not Ipi’s. I only had one person respond back to the email. I really don’t think that many people took as if we were running.

11. Daniel

a. So, your claim is that Misaki sent these email to students and believe that it could sway the vote of the election

12. Paige

a. Yes, that is correct.

13. Misaki

a. I would have gotten in a lot of trouble with the current president if this was actually a campaign. I really don’t think Ipi was involved in this at all. If it is okay I would like to submit evidence to show that I did put “our” in the petition and email.

14. Board will deliberate on the issue.

a. The board voted 4-0 that the candidates did violate the election code which could possibly sway the election. The board ruled that the fair punishment is that the candidates cannot campaign at all from 12-5pm on April 11th.
April 12, 2018

On April 12, 2018, Tangela Jones submitted a formal complaint against Bryson Badon claiming he violated SGA by-laws Article 5.5.1.A, Article 5.5.1.C, and Article 5.5.1.E. A formal hearing was held on Thursday, April 12, 2018. Below are the findings from this hearing.

Parties Present: Tangela Jones, Misaki Collins as her witness, Muhammad Kara

**Alleged Violation:** Tabling on April 3, 2018

Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item A: General Campaign Rules
Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item C: General Campaign Rules
Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item E: General Campaign Rules

A. Candidates and Referendum Parties shall take reasonable measures to ensure that every individual campaigning on behalf of or volunteering for the candidate/Referendum Party is aware of campaign regulations and guidelines. Candidates/Referendum Parties may be liable for the campaign infractions of their campaigners and volunteers even if the candidate/Referendum Party did not specifically direct the offending action.

C. Candidates and Referendum Parties shall abide by all University policy, which supersedes Election Board mandates and Election Code regulations.

E. Candidates and Referendum Parties shall not unduly disrupt the normal activities of the University.

**Decision:** Through a unanimous vote, the SGA Election Board ruled the following per each by-law: Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item A: 4-0 Yes, Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item C: 4-0 Yes, and Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Item E: 4-0 No. The Election Board will not vote on the entirety of UNT Policy 04.013. On a 4-0 vote, the Election Board believes that this violation altered the outcome of this election. The Election Board determined that the violation consequence should result in a deduction of votes from the Kara / Thomas campaign. Due to the timing of the complaint filing and hearing, the Election Board found only fair that the number of votes be determined after the close of polling. Upon further consideration, before the Election Board saw any votes, the Election Board decided the only fair way to administer a consequence of this violation was to dock the Kara/ Thomas ticket by 100 votes. This was decided during the deliberation prior to 5:00PM. The Election Board reiterates that the function of the Board itself is to interpret the Election Code in regards to complaints. The jurisdiction of the Board lies solely on the unbiased interpretation of the Election Code.
Sincerely,
The SGA Election Board

[Signature]
Tehute Habte
Election Commissioner

Tommy Hughes
Election Board Member

Josh Reynolds
Election Board Member

Matthew Hare
Election Board Member

Ally Zarate
Election Board Member
University of North Texas

Student Government Association – Election Board Hearing

April 12, 2018

Type of Meeting: Hearing

Meeting facilitator: Tehute Habte

1. Call to Order:

2. Roll Call: 3:25
   a. Tehute Habte
   b. Ally Zarate
   c. Tommy Hughes
   d. Josh Reynolds

3. Attendees:
   a. Muhammad Kara
   b. Misaki Collins
   c. Tangela Jones

4. Tangela
   a. We were tabling, and Bryson was walking up in front of the table which you are not allowed to do. He was getting votes

5. Misaki
   a. I know this person personally, so I texted him to get a written statement, which I can show you, he did say that the person on the other campaign was trying to get people to vote while standing in front of the table.
6. Muhammad
   a. Yes, Bryson is on my campaign team. I do want to go into detail on campaign rules. If you read the By Laws, there is a difference in solicitation rules when it comes to tabling. I looked into the By Laws and the PowerPoint that stated the rules and none of it stated that we could not stay in front of the table.

7. Misaki
   a. This was a very high traffic area in the union. If you are walking around the area talking to people about the SGA and the elections. This has always been a rule where you stand behind the table, so you do not disrupt people.

8. Muhammad
   a. If there is proof of Bryson was walking around I would like to see that. The picture I have seen is where Bryson is just at the edge of the table not walking around. I do not believe by looking at the picture and the angles of the picture that it shows that Bryson was walking around the area.

9. Misaki
   a. Ian is also opening to talking to the board if you would like to speak with him. I just wanted to add that.

10. Muhammad
    a. Again, I am just going off the policy that the university has given to us to follow.

11. Period of Discussion

12. Adjournment: 4:30
April 12, 2018

On April 12, 2018, Ipi Adedokun submitted a formal complaint against Stephon Bradberry claiming violation of SGA by-laws Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1 Items C, F, and I. Additionally, Adedokun stated the Bradberry violated the University Policy 04.013, Item 2, Numbers 5, 6, 7 and University Policy 04.013, Item 1, Section: Student Elections. A formal hearing was held on Thursday, April 12, 2018. Below are the findings from this hearing.

Parties Present: Stephon Bradberry, Misaki Collins, and Ipi Adedokun

**Alleged Violation**: The tabling event at the Pohl Recreation center by Stephon Bradberry on behalf of the Kara/Thomas ticket broke the following SGA by-laws and University Policy:

Article 5, Section 5, Subsection 1, Items C, F, and I: General Campaign Rules

C. Candidates and Referendum Parties shall abide by all University policy, which supersedes Election Board mandates and Election Code regulations.
F. Candidates and Referendum Parties shall assume responsibility for the accuracy and truthfulness of their statements and campaign materials.
I. All posters and signs must be placed in accordance with University policy and may not exceed 18x24 inches.

University Policy 04.013 Item 2 Numbers 5, 6, 7, and Item 1 Section Student Elections:

5. All personnel involved in the activity must be from the membership of the student organization.
6. Tables for this activity must be reserved through the Union Scheduling Office or the appropriate Building Representative, if the event is not to be held in the University Union.
7. Persons manning the solicitation table must remain behind the table. Solicitation activities shall not disrupt traffic flow.

**Item 1 Student Elections**: During designated student election periods, candidates for student office may solicit the vote of other students. Guidelines and appeal procedures for this activity are provided by the Student Government Association. Appeal of decisions made under this policy would normally be made to the organization advisor.

**Decision**: Through a unanimous vote, the SGA Election Board decided that these by-laws and University Policy were not violated because the Election Board believes they have abided by University Policy, were accurate and truthful throughout the tabling event, and their poster, as
measured by the Election Board and Advisor, was 17 ½ x 23 ½ inches. Additionally, for the alleged violation of University Policy 04.013, Item 2, Number 5, as stated in the SGA Constitution (Article 1, Section 1, Item A) and SGA by-law (Article IV, Section 1, Item A) all students of The University of North Texas are members of SGA. For the alleged violation of University Policy 04.013 Item 2 Number 6, the building representative, the Director of the Pohl Recreation Center, said that the Kara/ Thomas ticket was granted the right to table although they did not have a reservation. The Assistant Director of the Pohl Recreation Center stated that students do not have a time limit for how long they are allowed to table for. They will just be asked to either leave or relocate if it is reserved for another student at the time. It was also stated that they will try to accommodate all students especially those apart of SGA. Based on the information provided, the Election Board believes once notified that the other group came in and Bradberry finished tabling and left the premises. For the alleged violation of University Policy 04.013 Item 2 Number 7, based on the photograph provided by Adedokun with the complaint, Bradberry is behind a table. The Student Elections section refers back to SGA by-laws for guidelines on this and as provided earlier, the Election Board believes there was no violation. The Election Board reiterates that the function of the Board itself is to interpret the Election Code in regards to complaints. The jurisdiction of the Board lies solely on the unbiased interpretation of the Election Code.

Sincerely,
The SGA Election Board

Tehut Habte
Election Commissioner

Tommy Hughes
Election Board Member

Josh Reynolds
Election Board Member

Matthew Hare
Election Board Member

Ally Zarate
Election Board Member
University of North Texas

Student Government Association – Election Board Hearing

April 12, 2018

Type of Meeting: Hearing

Meeting facilitator: Tehute Habte

1. Call to Order:

2. Roll Call: 2:30
   a. Tehute Habte
   b. Ally Zarate
   c. Tommy Hughes
   d. Josh Reynolds

3. Attendees:
   a. Stephon Bradberry
   b. Misaki Collins
   c. Ipi Adedokun

4. Ipi and Misaki
   a. Ipi: we research a table at the Rec center, we filled out all the correct paper work but the other team was there campaigning in our spot.
   b. Misaki: people informed me that they arrived there at 7pm and began tabling.
      We also have an incident report.
   c. Ipi: the manager there said that about 300-500 people walk through there at that time they were campaigning.
d. Misaki: This is unfair to us and they claimed that it was our campaign even though they were the ones tabling.

5. Stephon:

   a. As you can see the photo provided we stood behind the table the entire time. We also have the correct documents for being there. I said that I was there for the correct campaign so I don’t know why people are saying I was tabling for the other campaign. All our posters are in the correct size. I brought it for you guys to measure. When I talked to Ipi I asked him if he had the table and when he said he did we rapped up and left. I do not think that many people were going through the building at the time. The lady at the front desk told us we were okay to table. Yes it was wrong of us to stay past the time but we do know that the other campaign should have come up to us and talked to us about the issue instead of staring at us.

6. Misaki:

   a. More than just Stephon was there. Those people were not a part of the SGA. The people at the center have said that they will come and testify if need to be.

7. Stephon

   a. Again, are do not know why we keep going back to people that are not about of SGA. This shouldn’t be a problem that other people outside of SGA cannot help out with the campaign. The staff members there never approached us to let us know that this was not our table. We were respectful when we found out about the issue and left.
8. Tehute:
   a. What were the correct times you were supposed to tabling?
      i. Misaki and Ipi 9:30-10
      ii. Stephon 7-9:30
      iii. Ipi took the picture of them at the table at 9:45

9. Stephon
   a. Korey Stamp can contact you about this. Korey.Stamp@unt.edu

10. Tehute
    a. If you have the emails of them, please provide them with me.

11. Period of Discussion
    a. Poster complaint
       i. The poster in question does not exceed 18x24. The actual poster is only

          17.5 x 23.5

12. Adjournment: 3:22